Great Colleges to Work Fbr UNLV Executive Summary 2019 Results

The Great Colleges to Work For Survey was administered to atlifuel employees in the Spring of 2019. This survey was conducted to help address the Top Tier foundational goal: Infrastructure and Shared Governance (ISG Mhat, in part, aims to enhance faculty d staff satisfaction. Overall, 1369 employees responded to the storve 38% response rate free response rates within employment groups version for Administrative/Executive Least ship, 43% for Academic Faculty, 40% or Administrative Faculty, and 27% or Classified Staff. Survey results uggest our strengths include job satisfaction, autonomy rapt by ee connection to the UNLV mission. Employees are less satisfied with comation ic collaboration, resources, senior leadership, shared governance, accountability, and recognition.

Strengths

- 1. Job Satisfaction: Overall, employees report high fulfillment with their jortal level of support.
- 2. Autonomy: Employees report the higheatisfaction with being given the responsibility and freedom to do their job.
- 3. Connection to the UNLV mission: Employees report high satisfaction with institutional pride.

Relative strengths

The following items how overall positive results but with ungen experiences across specific groups:

- 1. Satisfaction with Supervisors/Department Chairswer satisfaction among academic faculty
- 2. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion:

departments do not openly

communicate about issues that impact other sork; employees feel unable to speak up or challenge something without fearndquality of communications low.

- 2. Collaboration: Employees reputitely do nothave the opportunity to contribute to important decisions an employees in academic departments not work well together.
- 3. Resource Constraints: Employees report low satisfaction with pay, benefits, and facilities.

¹ Great Colleges to Work For is powered by ModernThirthC. All rights reserved.

- 4. Senior Leadership: Employees suggest an overall frustration/ainlgsteadership transitions and an overall lack of transparency, dialogue, and connection to and confidence in senior leadership.
- 5. Shared Governance: Employees report the role of faculty in shared govesmantce i clearly stated or publicized.
- 6. Accountability: Employees report a lack of onboarding and orientation. They also report a lack of adequate faculty/staff to achieve goalsfailude to addresspoor performance.
- 7. Recognition: Employees report a lack in regular recognition for contributions within their immediate unit they reports stemic recognition and awards programs are not meaningful to them.

Compared to data collected in 2016, the results from the Great Colleges to Work For survey indicates a 2% increase in overall employee satisfaction. Anexing the highest positive changes include professional development; shared governance; fairnessunication, job satisfaction and support; and compensation, benefits, & work/life balance. Satisfaction with the teaching environment; policies, resouraes efficiency; respect and appreciation; and institutional pride received lower scores than in 2016.

81/9¶V SDUWLFLSDWLRQ LQ WKH *UHDW & ROOHJHV WR : RUN Executive Vice President & Provost in Fall 2018. A Steering **©utte**e was convened and included the following members with titles at the time of committee membership:

> Allison Sahl, Analyst, Office of Faculty Affairs Barb Roth, Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs Barrett Morris, Executive Director, Compliance Barbee Oaks, Chief Diversity Officer Brent Drake, Vice Provost, Office of Decision Support Bill Robinson, Assistant Professor, Economics Chris Heavey, Senior Vice Provost Ericka Smith, Vice President & CHRO Janis McKay, Vice Chair/Chair Elect, Faculty Senate